

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,391	04/11/2001	Curtis Lee Carrender	12813-B	3770
7	11/05/2002			
Intellectual Property Services			EXAMINER	
Battelle Memorial Institute Pacific Northwest Division			NGUYEN, PHUNG	
P.O. Box 999				
Richland, WA	99352		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	1.1
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/833,391	CARRENDER, CURTIS LEE
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Phung T Nguyen	2632
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period fo	• •		AONTHAN FROM
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the next patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		04 A	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□	,	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un		
Dispositi	on of Claims		,
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and in Papers	nd/or election requirement.	
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	n reply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•	
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don		
Attachmen		· •	
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and T	rademark Office v. 04-01) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i dit oi i apei 140

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-14, 17-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mays et al. [U.S. Pat. 5,828,693] in view of Wu et al. [U.S. Pat. 5,784,686].

Regarding claim 1: Mays et al. disclose a spread spectrum frequency hopping reader system which comprises all subject matter as follows:

- a. a frequency-hopping source configured to sequentially generate radio-frequency signals at pseudo-randomly selected frequencies (col. 3, lines 49-54);
- b. a transmitter 10 coupled to the frequency-hopping source and to an antenna circuit (figure 1, col. 3, lines 5-7);
- c. a signal processor 24 (figure 1, col. 3, lines 9-34) wherein the signal processor is configured to receive the reflected radio-frequency signals and to extract data contained within the reflected radio-frequency signals;

Mays et al. disclose a homodyne radio for transmission by the antenna to a tag col. 2, lines 22-27). One skilled in the art would have recognized that the heterodyne receiver (double detection receivers) has the advantages that the selectivity can be easily defined by a band-pass filter at the intermediate frequency. Mays et al. fail to disclose a heterodyne receiver coupled to the antenna circuit and configured to receive on the antenna circuit reflected radio-frequency

Art Unit: 2632

signals as claimed. However, Wu et al. disclose an IQ combiner technology in modulated backscatter system comprising the heterodyne receiver for receiving the reflected signals from the tags as seen in figure 1, col. 1, lines 45-50, and col. 2, lines 12-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the readily heterodyne receiver of Wu et al. in the system of Mays et al. in order to enhance the system.

Regarding claim 2: Wu et al. teach the heterodyne receiver (col. 2, lines 18-21).

Regarding claim 5: Mays et al. disclose the frequency-hopping source is configured to sequentially generate radio-frequency signals at regular time intervals (col. 10, lines 50-56).

Regarding claim 6: Mays et al. disclose the transmitter is configured to modulated the pseudo-randomly selected radio-frequency signals (figure 1, col. 3, lines 44-54).

Regarding claim 7: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above. Mays et al. also disclose the extracting data contained within the reflected radio-frequency signals (col. 3, lines 28-34).

Regarding claim 8: Refer to claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 11: Refer to claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 12: Mays et al. disclose extracting data from the modulated, transmitted radio-frequency signals at the RFID tag and storing data in the RFID tag device (col. 9, lines 8-22).

Regarding claim 13: Mays et al. disclose extracting data from the modulated, transmitted radio-frequency signals at the RFID tag and modulating the reflected radio-frequency signal on the data extracted at the RFID tag device (col. 9, lines 65-67, and col. 10, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 14: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 17: Refer to claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 18: Mays et al. show the RFID device configured to reflect radio-frequency signals via continuous-wave backscatter (col. 1, lines 10-17). Plus the consideration of claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 19: Refer to claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 20: Mays et al. show that the RFID device comprising a passive RFID tag device (col. 1, lines 12-17).

Regarding claim 22: Mays et al. disclose the interrogator is configured to modulated the pseudo-randomly selected transmitted radio-frequency signals and the RFID device is configured to extract data from the transmitted signals (col. 11, lines 65-67, and col. 12, lines 1-10).

Regarding claim 23: Refer to claim 12 above.

Regarding claim 24: Refer to claim 13 above.

3. Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 15, 16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mays et al. in view of Wu et al. and further in view of Shober [U.S. Pat. 5,952,922]

Regarding claim 3: Mays et al. disclose the di-pole antenna 16 (col. 3, lines 9-12). The combination fails to disclose a first antenna for transmitting the radio-frequency signals and a second antenna for receiving the reflected radio-frequency signals. However, Shober discloses an in-building modulated backscatter system comprising transmitter antenna 204 and receive

Art Unit: 2632

antenna 206 (figure 2, col. 4, lines 66-67, and col. 5, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use the transmitter antenna and receive antenna of Shober in the system of the combination because the separated antenna provides a higher accuracy of directionality and a higher antenna gain than the single di-pole antenna.

Regarding claim 4: Shober discloses the low noise amplifier 207 (figure 2, col. 5, line 1) for amplifying the received reflected radio-frequency signals.

Regarding claim 9: Refer to claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 10: Refer to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 15: Refer to claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 16: Refer to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 21: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claims 1 and 15 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-6252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2632

Any inquiry of a concept nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Examiner: Phung Nguyen

Date: October 30, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6